

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10 001,439	10/25/2001	Bill H. McAnalley	013258.0294	2421
27683 75	590 11 18:2002			
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP			EXAMINER	
901 MAIN STR DALLAS, TX	75202 REET, SUITE 3100		COE, SUSAN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 11-18/2002	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/001,439 MCANALLEY, BILL H.		LL H.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Susan Coe	1654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)[	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2002.							
2a)⊡	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
4) Claim(s) <u>1,8-20 and 24</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊡	6) Claim(s) <u>1, 8-20 and 24</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requireme	nt.					
	on Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<u></u> -	L. H. E. anima					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a)          The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.     </li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (Paper:					

Application/Control Number: 10/001,439

Art Unit: 1654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The amendment filed August 30, 2002, has been received and entered. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 2-7, 21-23, and 25-27 have been cancelled.
- 3. Claims 1, 8-20 and 24 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1, 8-20, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 5,576,015, US Pat. No. 5,531,989, and WO 97/05884 for the reasons set forth on pages 5-7 of the previous Office action.

All of applicant's arguments regarding this ground of rejection have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the specific ingredients together either in the art or in the references themselves. However, there is motivation known in the art to combine substances together that are all known to have the same pharmaceutical effect. The references all teach that the claimed ingredients are used in compositions that strengthen the immune system. Thus, the references show that is was known in the art at the time of the invention that all of the claimed ingredients are used in compositions that achieve the same purpose. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the compositions taught by the prior art into one composition.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so

Application/Control Number: 10/001,439

Art Unit: 1654

long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). What was known in the art at the time of the invention is discussed above. Since the obviousness rejection is based on what was known in the art at the time of the invention, improper hindsight was not employed in crafting the rejection.

Applicant also argues that even if the references are properly combined it would not result in the claimed invention because there would be additional ingredients in the composition. However, applicant's claims have open language based on the transitional phrase "comprising." Therefore, additional ingredients are permitted in the composition.

## 5. No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/001,439

Art Unit: 1654

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (703) 306-5823. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30 and on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (703) 306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Susan Coe, Examiner November 14, 2002

> EON'S LANKFORD, JR PRIMARY EXAMINER